



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/773,186   | 02/09/2004  | Kia Silverbrook      | MTB25US             | 8433             |
| 24011  | 7590        | 02/08/2006           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD<br>393 DARLING STREET<br>BALMAIN, NSW 2041<br>AUSTRALIA |             |                      | FIDLER, SHELBY LEE  |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2861                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6/1

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 10/773,186             | SILVERBROOK, KIA    |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | Shelby Fidler          | 2861                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-16, 18-21, 23-35, 37-40, 42-52, 54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 17, 18, 22, 23, 36, 37, 41, 53 and 54 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 2/9/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/16/2004</u>  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Objections*

Claims 1, 18, 23, 37, and 54 are objected to because of the following informalities:

**Regarding claim 1**, lines 12-13 recite that the heater element has “at least one bubble nucleation section with a smaller cross section than the remainder,” which is unclear. If there are more than one sections of the heating element that have the same “smaller” cross section, then it would be impossible for one of those sections to be smaller than the remainder of the heating element.

**Regarding claim 9**, line 3 recites “said part,” which has no antecedent basis.

**Regarding claims 18**, line 1 recites, “each heater element is *substantially* covered . . . such that the coating is seamless.” This statement is unclear since a substantially covered element would not be seamless.

Similar arguments apply to claims 37 and 54.

**Regarding claim 23**, the term “support” is unclear and indefinite since there is no context to determine the type of support that the system is offering.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Specification*

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of

the following is required: the terminology of the heating element being configured such that the energy required to heat the heating element to eject a drop is less than the energy required to heat a volume of ejectable liquid equal to the volume of a drop, from a temperature equal to an ambient temperature to the boiling point is not disclosed in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 19, 20, 24, 26, 38, 39, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andrews et al. (US 6568792 B2).

**Andrews et al. teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38, an inkjet printhead and printing system (col. 3, lines 26-30) comprising:**

a plurality of nozzles (col. 6, lines 22-25);

a bubble forming chamber corresponding to each of the nozzles

respectively (col. 1, lines 8-13);

at least one heater element disposed in each of the bubble forming chambers respectively (*col. 1, lines 12-13*), the heater element configured for thermal contact with a bubble forming liquid (*col. 1, lines 13-16*); such that

heating the heater element to a temperature above the boiling point of the bubble forming liquid forms a gas bubble that causes the ejection of a drop of an ejectable liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element (*col. 1, lines 13-17*); wherein, the heater element has at least one bubble nucleation section with a smaller cross section than the remainder of the heater element (*col. 11, line 63 – col. 12, line 1*);

supplying the nozzle with a replacement volume of the ejectable liquid equivalent to the ejected drop (inherent to operation of invention)

**\*regarding claims 2, 20, and 39**, the heater element extends between the electrodes mounted on opposite sides of the bubble forming chamber (*elements 140 and 132, Figure 1*)

**\*regarding claims 5, 24, and 42**, the bubble forming liquid and the ejectable liquid are of a common body of liquid (*col. 1, lines 8 and 13-17*)

**\*regarding claims 7 and 26**, the heater elements are in the form of a cantilever beam (*elements 712, 714, 716, Figure 8*)

Claims 1, 11, 19, 30, 38, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tachihara (US 5481287).

**Tachihara teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38, an inkjet printhead and printing system (col. 3, lines 16-18) comprising:**

a plurality of nozzles (col. 3, lines 18-19);

a bubble forming chamber corresponding to each of the nozzles respectively (col. 3, lines 24-27);

at least one heater element disposed in each of the bubble forming chambers respectively (col. 3, lines 24-28), the heater element configured for thermal contact with a bubble forming liquid (col. 3, lines 38-40); such that

heating the heater element to a temperature above the boiling point of the bubble forming liquid forms a gas bubble that causes the ejection of a drop of an ejectable liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element (col. 3, lines 24-31 with col. 3, lines 38-40); wherein, the heater element has at least one bubble nucleation section with a smaller cross section than the remainder of the heater element (col. 3, lines 38-40);

supplying the nozzle with a replacement volume of the ejectable liquid equivalent to the ejected drop (inherent to operating the invention)

**\*regarding claims 11, 30, and 47**, the heater elements have two opposite sides and are configured such that a gas bubble formed by the heater element is formed at both sides of that element (*Figure 6B*)

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 12, 21, 31, 40, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews et al. (US 6568792 B2) in view of Campbell et al. (US 4870433).

**Andrews et al. teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 3, 21, and 40**, heating elements with a circular cross-section (*Figure 8*)

**Andrews et al. does not teach the following:**

**\*regarding claims 3, 21, and 40**, the bubble forming chamber has a circular cross-section.

**\*regarding claims 12, 31, and 48**, the bubble collapses to a point that is spaced from the heater element

**Campbell et al. teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 3, 21, and 40**, the bubble forming chamber has a circular cross-section (*unreferenced, circular, broken-line, Figure 1*) and that the heater elements have arcuate sections that are concentric with the circular cross-section (*resistor 12, Figure 1 with Figure 3*)

**\*regarding claims 12, 31, and 48**, a heater element that is configured such that the point of collapse of a bubble formed by the heater element is spaced from that heater element (*col. 3, lines 60-64*)

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Andrew's invention with Campbell's bubble point of collapse. The motivation for doing so, as taught by Campbell, is to prevent cavitational erosion of the resistive heater elements so that reliability is improved (*col. 3, lines 64-66*).

Claims 1, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14, 19, 25, 27, 29, 32, 33, 38, 43, 44, 46, 49, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (US 6019457) in view of Andrews et al. (US 6568792 B2).

**Silverbrook teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38**, an inkjet printhead (*col. 5, lines 34-38*) and printing system (*Figure 116*) comprising:

a plurality of nozzles (*nozzles 41, Figure 3*);



a bubble forming chamber corresponding to each of the nozzles respectively (*element 113, Figure 9*);

at least one heater element disposed in each of the bubble forming chambers respectively (*elements 120, Figure 9*), the heater element configured for thermal contact with a bubble forming liquid (*heater 120 in thermal contact with ink 106, Figure 12*); such that

heating the heater element to a temperature above the boiling point of the bubble forming liquid forms a gas bubble that causes the ejection of a drop of an ejectable liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element (*col. 9, lines 26-28*); and

supplying the nozzle with a replacement volume of ejectable liquid equivalent to the ejected drop (*col. 12, lines 59-61*)

**\*regarding claims 6, 25, and 43**, the printhead is configured to print on a page and that the printhead is a page-width printhead (*col. 2, lines 19-20*)

**\*regarding claims 8, 27, and 44**, each heater element is configured such that an actuation energy of less than 500 nanojoules is required to be applied to the heater element to heat the heater element sufficiently to form a bubble in the bubble forming liquid thereby to cause the ejection of a drop (*col. 19, lines 8-10*)

**\*regarding claims 10, 29, and 46**, the printhead comprises a substrate surface wherein the areal density of the nozzles relative to the substrate surface exceeds 10,000 nozzles per square centimeter of substrate surface (*using the reference measurement of*

Art Unit: 2861

*Figure 43 and counting the individual nozzles disclosed in the "part of cyan" section of Figure 43, calculations show that the density exceeds 10,000 per square cm:*

$$\frac{20 \text{ nozzles}}{0.0016384 \text{ cm}^2} = 12207 \frac{\text{nozzles}}{\text{cm}^2}$$

**\*regarding claims 13, 32, and 50,** the printhead comprises a structure that is formed by chemical vapor deposition (*col. 5, lines 46-48*), the nozzles being incorporated on the structure (*CVD layer 80 about nozzle 77, Figure 4b*)

**\*regarding claim 14, 33, and 49,** the printhead comprises a structure that is less than 10 microns thick, the nozzles being incorporated into the structure (*col. 9, lines 8-10*)

**\*regarding claim 23,** the system is configured to support the bubble forming liquid that is in thermal contact with a heater element (*ink 106 supported next to heater 120, Figure 24*) and to support the ejectable liquid adjacent each nozzle (*ink 106 supported next to nozzle 111, Figure 24*)

**Silverbrook teaches all claimed limitations except for the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38,** the heater element has a nucleation section smaller than the remainder of the element

**Andrews et al. teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38,** a bubble nucleation section that has a smaller cross section than the remainder of the heater element (*col. 11, line 63 – col.12, line 1*).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Silverbrook's invention with Andrew's heater element cross-sections. The motivation for doing so, as taught by Andrews, is to vary the resistances of the heater elements so that different heater elements may fire droplets of fluid at different times (*col. 12, lines 2-6*).

Claims 9, 28, and 45 are rejected as best understood under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (US 6019457) in view of Otsuka et al. (US 5485179). Silverbrook teaches all claimed limitations except for the following:

**\*regarding claims 9, 28, and 45, the heater element is configured such that the energy required to heat the heater element to cause ejection of a drop of ink is less than the energy required to heat a volume of ejectable liquid equal to the volume of the drop, from a temperature equal to the ambient temperature to the boiling point**

**Otsuka et al. teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 9, 28, and 45, the heater element is configured such that the energy required to be applied thereto to heat the heater element to cause the ejection of a drop is less than the energy required to heat a volume of the ejectable liquid equal to the volume of the drop, from a temperature equal to the ambient temperature to the boiling point** (*col. 13, lines 21-28 shows that the energy required to heat the heater is less when the ambient temperature is high, and more when the ambient temperature is low; therefore,*

*Otsuka teaches that it would take less energy to eject a drop of ink than it would to heat ink from an ambient temperature to a boiling temperature).*

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Silverbrook's invention with Otsuka's heating configuration. The motivation for doing so, as taught by Otsuka, is to control the temperature of the recording head based on the present ambient temperature (*col. 12, lines 41-49*).

Claims 1, 6, 7, 15, 16, 18, 19, 25, 26, 34, 35, 37, 38, 43, 51, 52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anagnostopoulos et al. (US 6502925 B2) in view of Andrews (US 6568792 B2).

**Anagnostopoulos et al. teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38, an inkjet printhead (*col. 7, lines 34-36*) and a printing system (*col. 7, lines 14-15*) comprising:**

**a plurality of nozzles (*col. 5, lines 17-21*);**

**a bubble forming chamber corresponding to each of the nozzles respectively (*col. 5, lines 20-22*);**

**at least one heater element disposed in each of the bubble forming chambers respectively (*col. 5, lines 22-25*), the heater element configured for thermal contact with a bubble forming liquid (*col. 5, lines 22-25*); such that**

**heating the heater element to a temperature above the boiling point of the bubble forming liquid forms a gas bubble that causes the ejection of a drop of an**

ejectable liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element (*col. 1, lines 37-41 in combination with col. 4, lines 22-25*); and

supplying the nozzle with a replacement volume of the ejectable liquid equivalent to the ejected drop (inherent to operation of invention).

**\*regarding claims 6, 25, and 43**, the printhead is configured to print on a page and to be a page-width printhead (*col. 3, lines 35-39*).

**\*regarding claims 7 and 26**, each heater is in the form of a cantilever beam (*TiN heater, Figure 5*).

**\*regarding claims 15, 34, and 51**, the printhead comprises a plurality of nozzle chambers each corresponding to a respective nozzle (*col. 5, lines 17-23*), and a plurality of the heater elements are disposed within each chamber (*col. 8, lines 36-37*), the heater elements within each chamber being formed on different respective layers to one another (*col. 8, lines 36-38*).

**\*regarding claims 16, 35, and 52**, each heater element is formed of solid material more than 90% of which, by atomic proportion, is constituted by at least one periodic element, having an atomic number below 50 (*Ti and TiN, col. 10, lines 31-33*).

**\*regarding claims 18, 37, and 54**, each heater element is covered by a conformal protective coating, the coating of each heater element having been applied substantially to all sides of the heater element simultaneously such that the coating is seamless (*col. 10, lines 33-39 in combination with Figure 5*).

**Anagnostopoulos teaches all claimed limitations except for the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38, the heater element has a nucleation section smaller than the remainder of the element**

**Andrews teaches the following:**

**\*regarding claims 1, 19, and 38, a heater element that has a bubble nucleation section that has a smaller cross section than the remainder of the heater element (*col. 11, line 63 – col.12, line 1*).**

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Anagnostopoulos' invention with the heating element cross-section of Andrews. The motivation for doing so, as taught by Andrews, is to vary the resistances of the heater elements so that different heater elements may fire droplets of fluid at different times (*col. 12, lines 2-6*).

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 4, 17, 22, 36, 41, and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

**Regarding claims 4 and 22, the primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is the inclusion of the limitation that the bubble collapses to a point that is spaced from any solid surface of the heater elements or the bubble forming chamber. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has**

not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which indicates allowable subject matter.

**Regarding claim 41**, the primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is the inclusion of the step of the bubble collapsing to a point that is spaced from any solid surface of the heater elements or the bubble forming chamber. It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which indicates allowable subject matter.

**Regarding claims 17 and 36**, the primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is the inclusion of the limitation of a heater element that includes solid material and is configured for a mass of less than 10 nanograms of the solid material of that heater element to be heated to a temperature above the boiling point to heat the part of the bubble forming liquid to a temperature above the boiling point to cause the ejection of a drop. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which indicates allowable subject matter.

**Regarding claim 53**, the primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is the inclusion of the step heating at least one heater element comprising heating a mass of less than 10 nanograms of the solid material of each such heater element to a temperature above the boiling point. It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which indicates allowable subject matter.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tsung Pan (US 4894664) teaches of heating elements that are in the form of cantilever beams. Kubby (US 5706041) teaches having a suspended heating element and having heating elements on two different layers. Abe et al. (US 4914562) teaches heating elements that are constructed to avoid collapsing bubbles. Nagashima (US 4623901) teaches of ring-shaped heating element arrays, wherein the diameters of the heating elements are different, and are arranged concentrically.



*Communications with the USPTO*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelby Fidler whose telephone number is (571) 272-8455. The examiner can normally be reached on MWF 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*SLF*

SLF

*K. Figgins* 2/04  
K. Figgins  
PRIMARY EXAMINER